

# ON THE ORDER OF THE RECURSION RELATION OF MOTZKIN NUMBERS OF HIGHER RANK

HELMUT PRODINGER<sup>†</sup>

ABSTRACT. For Motzkin paths with up- and down-steps of heights 1 and 2, the minimal recursion is of order 6, not of order 4, as conjectured by Schork.

We consider higher rank Motzkin numbers, as suggested by Schork [2]: There are up-steps  $(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (1, r)$  with respective weights  $a_1, \dots, a_r$ , down-steps  $(1, -1), (1, -2), \dots, (1, -r)$  with respective weights  $c_1, \dots, c_r$ , and a level-step  $(1, 0)$  with weight  $b$ .

Let us first consider the classical case  $r = 1$ . The generating function  $M(z)$  of these paths satisfies the equation

$$M = 1 + bzM + azMczM,$$

whence

$$\frac{1 - bz - \sqrt{1 - 2bz + b^2z^2 - 4az^2c}}{2az^2c}.$$

Schork's first problem is to find a recursion for the numbers  $m_n = [z^n]M(z)$ .

This can be automatically solved with Maple's program `gfun` (written by Salvy et al.): The procedure `algeqtodiffeq` translates the (algebraic) equation for  $M(z)$  into an equivalent differential equation:

$$2 + (3bz - b^2z^2 + 4az^2c - 2)M + (-z + 2bz^2 - z^3b^2 + 4z^3ac)M'.$$

The procedure `diffeqtorec` translates the differential equation into a recursion:

$$(-b^2 + 4ac)(n + 1)m_n + (5b + 2bn)m_{n+1} - (n + 4)m_{n+2} = 0,$$

which solves already this first problem.<sup>1</sup>

Now let us move to the instance  $r = 2$ . Let us assume that the weights are all 1. In the paper [1] we find the equation for the generating function:

$$z^4M^4 - z^2(1 + z)M^3 + z(2 + z)M^2 - (1 + z)M + 1 = 0.$$

Thus (again with `gfun`)

$$\begin{aligned} & -4 - 100z^2 + 56z + (3750z^6 - 5000z^5 + 250z^4 + 700z^3 + 160z^2 - 92z + 4)M \\ & + (-328z^2 + 32z - 15250z^6 - 20z^3 + 4750z^4 + 11250z^7 - 650z^5)M' \\ & + (5625z^8 - 7750z^7 - 1200z^6 + 3880z^5 - 395z^4 - 186z^3 + 26z^2)M'' \\ & + (625z^9 - 875z^8 - 250z^7 + 610z^6 - 91z^5 - 23z^4 + 4z^3)M''' = 0 \end{aligned}$$

---

*Date:* February 29, 2008.

<sup>1</sup>After sending a draft of this note to M. Schork, he informed me that he could now also establish this recurrence together with Mansour and Sun.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& 625(n+3)(n+2)(n+1)m_n - 125(n+3)(n+2)(7n+27)m_{n+1} \\
& - 50(n+3)(5n^2+24n+23)m_{n+2} + (41890+30860n+7540n^2+610n^3)m_{n+3} \\
& + (-6844-5151n-1214n^2-91n^3)m_{n+4} - (n+7)(23n^2+301n+976)m_{n+5} \\
& + 2(2n+13)(n+8)(n+7)m_{n+6} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(This recursion also appears in [1].)

Bruno Salvy has kindly informed me that this recursion of order 6 is *minimal*.

Schork [2] conjectured that there should be a  $(2r+1)$ -term recursion (=order  $2r$ ). Thus, the conjecture does not hold.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Banderier and P. Flajolet. Basic analytic combinatorics of directed lattice paths. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 281(1-2):37–80, 2002. Selected papers in honour of Maurice Nivat.
- [2] M. Schork. On the recursion relation of Motzkin numbers of higher rank. *Online Journal of Analytic Combinatorics*, 2:#3, 2007.

HELMUT PRODINGER, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF STELLENBOSCH, 7602 STELLENBOSCH, SOUTH AFRICA

*E-mail address:* hproding@sun.ac.za