GENERALIZING THE SUM OF DIGITS FUNCTION*

HELMUT PRODINGER[†]

Abstract. The number theoretic function $G_{q,\alpha}(n) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \lfloor n/q^k + j\alpha \rfloor$ has appeared in the literature for some special values of α . Some properties of this function are investigated. Since $G_{q,0}(n)$ is closely related to the sum of digits of the q-ary representation of n, a generalized "sum of digits" function can be defined via $G_{q,\alpha}$. For q = 2 and $\alpha = 2^{-s}$ the summing function of this "sum of digits" function is analyzed using a technique of Delange.

1. Introduction and elementary results. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \neq 1$ and define the functions $G_{q,\alpha} : \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N}_0$ by

(1)
$$G_{q,\alpha}(n) := \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{1 \le j < q} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + j\alpha \right\rfloor.$$

 $(\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less or equal to x.)

To make this definition meaningful, α must be in the range $\alpha \in [0, (q-1)^{-1})$. But for all considerations (except for Theorem 5) it is better to restrict α to the range $[0, q^{-1}]$, especially because the generalized "sum of digits" function (see § 2) takes then only nonnegative values, which is very desirable.

In [6] an alternative expression for $G_{2,1/4}$ is given by a complicated method; the same method applies to $G_{2,1/2}$ showing that this function is the identity.

The last result can be found in [4, p. 43] in the general form

(2)
$$G_{q,1/q}(n) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{1 \le j < q} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{j}{q} \right\rfloor = n.$$

In the sequel it will be shown that, starting from (2), some formulas for $G_{q,\alpha}$ can be derived in an easy way. To be able to formulate this result adequately, it is useful to use the following denotation.

If ξ is a string of integers in the range [0, q-1], let $B_q(\xi, n)$ denote the number of subblocks ξ in the q-ary representation of n (subblocks are allowed to overlap).

Theorem 1.

$$G_{q,q^{-s}}(n) = n - \sum_{1 \le j < q} jB_q(j,n) + \sum_{1 \le j < q} jB_q((q-1)^{s-1}j,n).$$

(For instance, $G_{2,1/4}(n) = n - B_2(1, n) + B_2(11, n)$.) *Proof.* It is sufficient to show that the number of indices k, l such that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{l}{q} \right\rfloor = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{l}{q^s} \right\rfloor$$

equals

$$\sum_{1 \le j < q} jB_q(j, n) - \sum_{1 \le i < q} tB_q((q-1)^{s-1}t, n).$$

^{*} Received by the editors May 29, 1980, and in revised form June 1, 1981.

[†] Institut für Algebra und Diskrete Mathematik, Technische Universität Wien, Guszhausstrasze 27-29, A-1040 Vienna, Austria.

H. PRODINGER

This can only happen if

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{l}{q} \right\rfloor = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} \right\rfloor$$
 and $s \ge 2$.

(For s = 1 the theorem is trivially fulfilled.) Now assume that the fractional part of n/q^k starts with the digit j, $1 \le j < q-1$ (with respect to the q-ary representation of n). Then in (1) each l with $j + l \ge q$ is possible (there are j of such l's), and furthermore

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{l}{q^s} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} \right\rfloor.$$

Now assume j = q - 1. Then there are again q - 1 indices in (1) such that $j + l \ge q$, but

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \frac{l}{q^s} \right\rfloor = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} \right\rfloor$$

is also possible, and this happens if and only if the fractional part of n/q^k starts with $q-1, q-1, \dots, q-1, t$; in (1) each l with $t+l \ge q$ is possible (there are t of such l's).

Since the sum over k in (1) means that every digit is exactly one time the leading digit of the fractional part of n/q^k , the proof is finished.

Remark that the formula holds also for $\alpha = 0$ where the second sum vanishes, which can be seen as a "limiting case".

In the sequel it will be shown that $G_{q,\alpha}$ for $0 \le \alpha < q^{-1}$ has a rather erratic behavior, which contrasts to the case $\alpha = q^{-1}$.

LEMMA 2. Assume $a \neq q^{-1}$. Then there exists an n such that

$$G_{q,\alpha}(n) = G_{q,\alpha}(n+1).$$

Since the proof of this lemma is rather long and not too interesting, we just indicate that an appropriate choice for n is (with respect to the q-ary representation) of the form $(1000 \cdots 0)_q$.

THEOREM 3. For $0 \leq \alpha < q^{-1}$ the function $G_{q,\alpha}$ is not surjective. Proof. By Theorem 1,

$$q' = G_{q,1/q}(q') \ge G_{q,\alpha}(q') \ge G_{q,0}(q') = q'-1.$$

Thus there are numbers $t_1 < t_2$ such that

$$G_{q,\alpha}(q^{t_2}) - G_{q,\alpha}(q^{t_1}) = q^{t_2} - q^{t_1}.$$

Because of the monotony of $G_{q,\alpha}$, surjectivity in the interval $[t_1, t_2]$ means also injectivity, but this property is not fulfilled.

Remark. If α is allowed to be in the range $\alpha \in [0, (q-1)^{-1}), \alpha \neq q-1$ (compare the comments after the definition of $G_{q,\alpha}$), Lemma 2 and Theorem 3 are still true.

It is clear that from $\alpha \leq \beta$ it follows that $G_{q,\alpha}(n) \leq G_{q,\beta}(n)$. The following stronger result is easily obtained.

THEOREM 4. If $\alpha < \beta$ then there is an n such that $G_{q,\alpha}(n) < G_{q,\beta}(n)$.

Proof. Choose numbers n, k such that

$$1-\beta \leq \frac{n}{q^k} < 1-\alpha;$$

then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \beta \right\rfloor = 1$$
 and $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} + \alpha \right\rfloor = 0.$

As D. E. Knuth has pointed out [5], it would be interesting to investigate $G_{q,\alpha}(n)$ for fixed *n*, where α is the variable. A first result in this direction is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.

$$\int_0^1 G_{2,\alpha}(n) \, d\alpha = n.$$

Proof. Since

$$\int_0^1 \left\lfloor x + \alpha \right\rfloor \, d\alpha = x,$$

it follows that

(3)

$$\int_0^1 G_{2,\alpha}(n) \, d\alpha = \int_0^1 \sum_{k \ge 1} \left[\frac{n}{2^k} + \alpha \right] \, d\alpha$$
$$= \sum_{k \ge 1} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{n}{2^k} + \alpha \right] \, d\alpha = \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{n}{2^k} = n.$$

(It is not very hard to see that the integration and the summation can be interchanged.)

2. The summing function of the function "generalized sum of digits". In Delange [1] the summing function of the function "sum of digits to the base q" is considered: The sum of digits is

$$S_q(n) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^r} \right\rfloor - q \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{r+1}} \right\rfloor \right)$$
$$= n - (q-1) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^r} \right\rfloor$$
$$= n - \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{r+1}} \right\rfloor$$
$$= n - \sum_{1 \le j < q} j B_q(j, n) = n - G_{q,0}(n).$$

In view of §1 it is natural to define the generalized function "sum of digits" by

$$S_{q,\alpha}(n) \coloneqq n - G_{q,\alpha}(n).$$

In [1] it is proved that

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}S_q(n) = \frac{q-1}{2}\log_q m + F(\log_q m),$$

where F(x) is continuous, periodic with period 1 and thus bounded. $(\log_q m \text{ means})$ the logarithm to the base q.) Further information in this area can be found in the beautiful thesis of Flajolet [2].

In the rest of this paper the summing function of $S_{2,2^{-1}}(n)$ is treated, but I hope to do further work in this direction in the future. The ordinary sum of digits appears as the limit for $s \to \infty$.

From Theorem 1, we know that

$$G_{2,2^{-s}}(n) = n - B_2(1, n) + B_2(1^s, n).$$

Plugging this into the definition of $S_{q,\alpha}$, we find that

(4)
$$S_{2,2^{-s}}(n) = B_2(1, n) - B_2(1^s, n)$$
$$= S_2(n) - B_2(1^s, n).$$

So $S_{2,2^{-1}}(n)$ is just the number of ones in the binary expansion of n minus the number of blocks of s consecutive ones in that expansion.

The rest of this paper is an analysis of the summing function of the function $B_2(1^s, n)$; then by (4), an analogue to Delange's result of $S_{2,2^{-s}}(n)$ can be formulated as a corollary.

THEOREM 6. Let $B_2(1^s, n)$ denote the number of subblocks of s consecutive ones appearing in the binary representation of n, where overlapping is allowed. Then the summation of $B_2(1^s, n)$ is given by the formula

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}B_2(1^s, n) = \frac{\log_2 m - (s-1)}{2^s} + H_s(\log_2 m) + \frac{E}{m},$$

where H_s is continuous, periodic with period 1, and satisfies $H_s(0) = 0$, and where E is bounded by $0 \le E < 1$.

Proof. A crucial point in Delange's derivation is the property

(5)
$$\left\lfloor \frac{t}{q'} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q'} \right\rfloor$$
 for $n \le t < n+1$.

For $\alpha \neq 0$ it is not trivial to find an appropriate analogue.

An analogue to property (5) can be written as follows:

(6)
$$\left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^r} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^r} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor$$

holds for $n \le t < n+1$ and $r \ge s$ and also for $n - (1/2^{s-r}) \le t < n+1 - (1/2^{s-r})$ and r < s. Let $l = \log_2 m$. We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} B_2(1^s, n) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} G_{2,2^{-s}}(n) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} G_{2,0}(n)$$

= $\sum_{r=1}^{s-1} \int_{2^{r-s}}^{m-2^{r-s}} \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^r} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor dt + \sum_{r=s}^{\lfloor l \rfloor + 1} \int_0^m \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^r} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor dt - \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor l \rfloor} \int_0^m \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^{r+1}} \right\rfloor dt$
= $-\sum_{r=1}^{s-1} 2^{r-s} \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2^r} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor + \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor l \rfloor} \int_0^m \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^{r+1}} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^{r+1}} \right\rfloor \right) dt.$

Now define

$$C = \sum_{r=1}^{s-1} 2^{r-s} \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2^r} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor,$$
$$g_s(x) = \int_0^x \left(\left\lfloor t + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor - \lfloor t \rfloor - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) dt.$$

38

 $g_s(x)$ is periodic with period 1, continuous and $g_s(0) = 0$. With this notation we can write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} B_2(1^s, n) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor l \rfloor} \int_0^m \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^{r+1}} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2^{r+1}} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) dt + (\lfloor l \rfloor + 1) \frac{m}{2^s} - C$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor l \rfloor} 2^{r+1} g_s \left(\frac{m}{2^{r+1}} \right) + (\lfloor l \rfloor + 1) \frac{m}{2^s} - C$$
$$= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\lfloor l \rfloor} 2^{r+1} g_s \left(\frac{m}{2^{r+1}} \right) + (\lfloor l \rfloor + 1) \frac{m}{2^s} - C$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{1+\lfloor l \rfloor - k} g_s(m 2^{k-\lfloor l \rfloor - 1}) + (\lfloor l \rfloor + 1) \frac{m}{2^s} - C.$$

Now remember that $m = 2^{l}$ and define $\{l\} = l - \lfloor l \rfloor$ and

$$h_s(x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-k} g_s(x2^k).$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} B_2(1^s, n) = m 2^{1-\{l\}} \cdot h_s(2^{\{l\}-1}) + (\lfloor l \rfloor + 1) \frac{m}{2^s} - C.$$

Now defining

$$H_{s}(l) = 2^{1-\{l\}} h_{s}(2^{\{l\}-1}) - \frac{1}{2^{s}}(\{l\}-1),$$

it remains only to analyze the quantity C to complete the proof.

$$C = \sum_{r=1}^{s-1} 2^{r-s} \left[\frac{m}{2^r} + \frac{1}{2^s} \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{s-1} 2^{r-s} \left[\frac{m}{2^r} \right],$$

since r lies in the range $1 \le r \le s - 1$. Thus

$$C = \sum_{r=1}^{s-1} 2^{r-s} \left(\frac{m}{2^r}\right) - \sum_{r=1}^{s-1} 2^{r-s} \left\{\frac{m}{2^r}\right\} = m \frac{s-1}{2^s} - E.$$

Since $\{x\}$ lies always in the interval [0, 1), we can deduce that the remaining error term E must also lie in that interval.

Using Delange's result on the summing function of $S_2(n)$ from [1] we get immediately:

COROLLARY 7. If $S_{q,\alpha}(n)$ denotes the generalized "sum of digits" function defined above, then the summing function of the quantity $S_{2,2^{-s}}(n)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}S_{2,2^{-s}}(n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^s}\right)\log_2 m + \frac{s-1}{2^s} + F\left(\log_2 m\right) - H_s(\log_2 m) - \frac{E}{m},$$

where both F and H_s are continuous, periodic with period 1, and take the value 0 on the integers, and where E is bounded by $0 \le E < 1$.

3. The Fourier series for $H_s(x)$. Delange [1] has already determined the Fourier series for F(x). Similar methods apply to $H_s(x)$.

H. PRODINGER

THEOREM 8. The Fourier expansion $H_s(x) = \sum_k h_k e^{2k\pi i x}$ of the function $H_s(x)$ converges absolutely, and its coefficients are given by

$$h_{0} = \log_{2} \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{s}} \right) - \frac{1}{2^{s} \log 2} - \frac{1}{2^{s+1}},$$

$$h_{k} = \frac{\zeta \left(\frac{2k\pi i}{\log 2}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{s}} \right) - \zeta \left(\frac{2k\pi i}{\log 2} \right)}{2k\pi i \left(1 + \frac{2k\pi i}{\log 2} \right)} \quad \text{for } k \neq 0.$$

Proof. Let $0 \le x < 1$. Since

$$H_s(x) = 2^{1-x} h_s(2^{x-1}) + \frac{1-x}{2^s},$$

the determination of the Fourier coefficients decomposes as:

$$h_{k} = \int_{0}^{1} 2^{1-x} h_{s}(2^{x-1}) e^{-2k\pi i x} dx + \frac{1}{2^{s}} \int_{0}^{1} (1-x) e^{-2k\pi i x} dx = a_{k} + b_{k}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$b_{k} = \frac{1}{2^{s}} \cdot \frac{1}{2k\pi i} \quad \text{for } k \neq 0, \quad b_{0} = \frac{1}{2^{s}} \cdot \frac{1}{2},$$
$$a_{k} = \int_{0}^{1} 2^{1-x} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{-r} g_{s}(2^{r+x-1}) e^{-2k\pi i x} dx,$$

and as in [1], the integration and the summation can be interchanged:

$$a_{k} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} 2^{1-r-x} g_{s}(2^{r+x-1}) e^{-2k\pi i x} dx.$$

The change of variable $x = 1 - r + \log_2 u$ gives

$$\int_0^1 2^{1-r-x} g_s(2^{r+x-1}) e^{-2k\pi i x} dx = \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_{2^{r-1}}^{2^r} \frac{g_s(u)}{u^2} \exp\left(-2k\pi i \cdot \log_2 u\right) du.$$

Thus

$$a_{k} = \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{g_{s}(u)}{u^{2+2k\pi i/\log 2}} \, du.$$

As in [1], the integral

$$\Phi_s(z) = \int_{1/2}^\infty \frac{g_s(u)}{u^{z+1}} \, du$$

should be studied; then

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\log 2} \Phi_s \left(1 + \frac{2k\pi i}{\log 2} \right).$$

Since

$$g_s(u) = \int_0^u \left(\left\lfloor t + \frac{1}{2^s} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor t \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) dt,$$

40

by partial integration for Re z > 0,

$$\Phi_{s}(z) = -\frac{1}{2^{s}} \cdot \frac{2^{z-1}}{z} + \frac{1}{z} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \left(\left\lfloor u + \frac{1}{2^{s}} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor u \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2^{s}} \right) \frac{du}{u^{z}}$$

For Re z > 2, the integral can be split into three parts. The third one is

$$-\frac{1}{2^{s}} \cdot \frac{1}{z} \int_{1/2}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^{z}} = -\frac{1}{2^{s}} \cdot \frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{2^{z-1}}{z-1}.$$

The second one is

$$-\frac{1}{z}\int_{1/2}^{\infty} \lfloor u \rfloor \frac{du}{u^z} = -\frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{z-1} \cdot \zeta(z-1).$$

The first one is

$$\frac{1}{z}\int_{1/2}^{\infty} \left[u + \frac{1}{2^{s}} \right] \frac{du}{u^{z}} = \frac{1}{z}\int_{1-2^{-s}}^{\infty} \left[u + \frac{1}{2^{s}} \right] \frac{du}{u^{z}} = \frac{1}{z(z-1)}\zeta\left(z-1, 1-\frac{1}{2^{s}}\right),$$

where $\zeta(z-1, a)$ is the z-function of Hurwitz (see [3]). This gives

$$\Phi_{s}(z) = -\frac{1}{2^{s}} \cdot \frac{2^{z-1}}{z-1} + \frac{\zeta\left(z-1, 1-\frac{1}{2^{s}}\right) - \zeta(z-1)}{z(z-1)}$$

This holds for Re z > 0 by analytical continuation. This gives

$$a_{k} = -\frac{1}{2^{s}} \cdot \frac{1}{2k\pi i} + \frac{1}{2k\pi i} \left(1 + \frac{2k\pi i}{\log 2}\right)^{-1} \left(\zeta \left(\frac{2k\pi i}{\log 2}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{s}}\right) - \zeta \left(\frac{2k\pi i}{\log 2}\right)\right)$$

for $k \neq 0$. Now a_0 must be computed. From [7],

$$\zeta(z-1, a) = \frac{1}{2} - a + (z-1)(\log \Gamma(a) - \frac{1}{2}\log (2\pi)) + O((z-1)^2) \quad \text{for } z \to 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta \left(z - 1, 1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^s} + (z - 1) \left(\log \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(2\pi \right) \right) + O((z - 1)^2), \\ \zeta (z - 1) &= \zeta (z - 1, 1) = -\frac{1}{2} - (z - 1)\frac{1}{2} \log \left(2\pi \right) + O((z - 1)^2), \\ 2^{z - 1} &= 1 + (\log 2)(z - 1) + O((z - 1)^2), \\ \frac{1}{z} &= 1 - (z - 1) + O((z - 1)^2). \end{aligned}$$

This yields after some manipulations

$$\Phi_s(z) = -\frac{1}{2^s} (1 + \log 2) + \log \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right) + O((z - 1)^2) \quad \text{for } z \to 1.$$

Hence

$$a_0 = -\frac{1}{2^s \log 2} - \frac{1}{2^s} + \log_2 \Gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right).$$

Finally, since $\zeta(it, a) = O(|t|^{1/2} \log |t|)$ [7], the Fourier series of H_s will converge absolutely.

H. PRODINGER

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express his deep gratitude to an anonymous referee who went very carefully through the manuscript; he pointed out several errors and made numerous suggestions which increase both the readability and the contents of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. DELANGE, Sur la fonction sommatoire de la fonction somme des chiffres, l'Enseignement Mathématique, 21 (1975), pp. 31-47.
- [2] P. FLAJOLET, Analyse d'algorithmes de manipulation d'arbres et de fichiers, Thèse, Université Paris-Sud, 1979.
- [3] P. FLAJOLET AND L. RAMSHAW, A note on Gray code and odd-even merge, SIAM J. Comput. 9 (1980), pp. 142-158.
- [4] D. E. KNUTH, The Art of Computer Programming, vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.
- [5] —, personal communication.
- [6] H. PRODINGER AND F. J. URBANEK, Infinite 0-1-sequences without long adjacent identical blocks, Disc. Math., 28 (1979), pp. 277-289.
- [7] E. T. WHITTAKER AND G. N. WATSON, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1927.

42